ATTACHMENT 1

<u>EPA - REGION 6</u> <u>NPDES PERMIT CERTIFICATION CHECKLIST</u>

Envir	onmental P	rotection A	gency, Region 6	tween the State of	owing draft National	
Majo	r[x] M	linor []	POTW[]	Private Domestic []	non-POTW []	
(Do N	OT comple	ete checklis	st for General Pe	ermits)		
Facili	ty Name_(Georgia-Pa	cific LLC, Cro	ssett Paper Operations_		
SIC (Code_2621_	Тур	epaper mill_			
Federal Permit No				State Permit No	State Permit NoAR0001210	
Segm	ent No2D	Basin_	Ouachita	Receiving Water_	_Mossy Lake	
	it Action: er the follow	Renewal/ Modification If this is a	Reissuance w/netion/Amendmen	suance w/changes [x] o changes in permit & WQ at [], type: cation, proceed directly to N		
		<u> </u>	,			
1. 2.	Does this facility discharge to a 303(d) listed waterbody segment?yes If so, does the facility discharge any of the pollutant(s) of concern identified in the 303(d listing? yes_					
3. 4.	Is this a new facility or an expansion of an existing facility? no For an existing facility, is any limits have been removed or are less stringent than those in					
5. 6.	the previous permit, is it in accordance with the anti-backsliding regulations?N/A Is this permit consistent with the approved WQMP?yes					
7.	Does the facility discharge to a waterbody segment which has a finalized TMDL? _yes_ If so, does the permit implement the TMDL consistent with the WLAs? _yes_					
8.	Does the fact sheet document the rationale for the inclusion/omission of permit conditions for each 303(d) listed pollutant of concern or TMDL pollutant?yes					
9. 10.	Does this permit include provisions for effluent trading?no_ In Texas, has a priority watershed of critical concern been identified by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for this segment?N/A					
11				orine for disinfection of the	effluent does the permit	

contain TRC limits? _N/A_

12.	Does this permit authorize ammonia discharges > 4.0 mg/l at the edge of the mixing zone?N/A
13.	Does this permit require testing for Whole Effluent Toxicity in accordance with the
	state's standard practices and implementation plan? _yes
14.	If this facility has completed and implemented a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE),
	has any subsequent toxicity been identified? _N/A
15.	Does this permit include a bypasses of any treatment unit or authorize overflows in the system?no
16.	If a POTW is \geq 5 MGD, does it have an approved Pretreatment Program? \geq N/A
17.	Since the last permit issuance, has the POTW had a new Pretreatment Program approved
	or a Pretreatment Program modification approved? _N/A
18.	Does this permit contain authorization for wet weather related peak-flow discharges?
_no	
19. _yes	Are there known or potential interstate water issues associated with this permit?
<u>ycs</u> 20.	Does this permit contain specific issues on which EPA and the state are not in agreement
	regarding the permitting approach? yes
21.	Does this permit propose to grant a variance request (WQS, FDF, etc.) or does it
	incorporate a proposed or final approval of a variance request? no
22.	Is this facility subject to a national effluent limitations guideline? yes
	If yes, specify40 CFR 430, Subpart B
23.	Does this permit contain "first-time" implementation of a new federal guideline, policy,
20.	regulation, etc.? _no If yes, specify:
24.	Is there known or potential third-party interest/environmental concern regarding this
21.	permit action? _yes
25.	Does this permit incorporate any exceptions to the standards or regulations?no
26.	If this is a permit modification/amendment, briefly describe the changes
20.	N/A
Based	on a review of the data and other information submitted by the permit applicant, and the
	ermit and other administrative records generated by the Department/Commission and/or
_	available to the Department/Commission, the information provided on this checklist is
	te and complete, to the best of my knowledge.
accura	te and complete, to the best of my knowledge.
Name	
Titlo	
1111E _	
a :	
Signati	ure
Date _	